

*Key*

1. Write psuedocode to efficiently evaluate the polynomial

$$p(x) = a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \cdots + a_nx^n$$

given a value of  $x$  and an array  $a_i$  of coefficients as inputs.

function  $y = \text{polyeval}(a, x)$

$$y = a_n$$

for  $k = n-1$  down to 0

$$y = yx + a_k$$

end

6/11 IX  
5/6 X  
X 23

3/3

2. Consider using the trapeziod method and Simpson's method to approximate

$$\int_1^2 \frac{1}{t} dt$$

with  $h = (2-1)/n$  where  $n = 20$ . Without actually performing the computation, tell which method will yield a better approximation? Explain why in as mathematically precise way as possible?

*away that is!*

3/3 Simpson's method will be more exact because it is  $O(h^4)$  whereas trapezoid method is  $O(h^2)$ .

Since  $h = \frac{1}{20}$  then  $h^2 = \frac{1}{400}$  and  $h^4 = \frac{1}{160000}$ .

Even though the constant in the error estimate of simpsons method may be bigger, possibly 16 times in this case, the fact that  $h^4$  is so much smaller than  $h^2$  implies Simpsons method will yield a better approximation.

16

3. The nodal points  $x_i$  and the weights  $w_i$  for the Gauss quadrature methods with  $n = 2, 3$  and  $4$  are given in the table

$n$	$x_i$	$w_i$
2	$\pm 0.5773502692$	1.0
3	$\pm 0.7745966692$ 0.0	0.5555555556 0.8888888889
4	$\pm 0.8611363116$ $\pm 0.3399810436$	0.3478548451 0.6521451549

Make the substitution  $x = 2t - 3$  to rewrite the integral

$$\int_1^2 \frac{1}{t} dt \quad \text{in the form} \quad \int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx$$

and then use the Gauss quadrature method, with  $n = 3$  to approximate this integral.

$$\int_1^2 \frac{1}{t} dt = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{w_i}{x_i + 3} \frac{dx}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{dx}{x_i + 3} \quad \text{so } f(x) = \frac{1}{x+3}$$

$$x = 2t - 3$$

$$t = \frac{x+3}{2} \quad dt = \frac{dx}{2}$$

$$\int_1^2 f(x) dx \approx (0.55\ldots) f(0.774\ldots) + (0.88\ldots) f(0) + (0.55\ldots) f(-0.774\ldots)$$

$$= \frac{0.555}{0.774\ldots + 3} + \frac{0.888\ldots}{3} + \frac{0.555\ldots}{-0.774\ldots + 3}$$

3/3

$$= 0.14718\ldots + 0.29629\ldots + 0.24964$$

$$= 0.69312169315$$

4. The Gauss quadrature formula with  $n = 7$  is exact for all polynomials of degree at most

- (A) 7
- (B) 13
- (C) 14
- (D) 27
- (E) none of these.

2/2

15

5. Use Taylor's theorem to estimate the mathematical error in the approximation

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}$$

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(c_1) \quad \text{for } c_1 \text{ between } x \text{ and } x+h.$$

$$f(x-h) = f(x) - hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2}f''(x) - \frac{h^3}{6}f'''(c_2) \quad \text{and } c_2 \text{ between } x \text{ and } x-h.$$

Thus  $f(x+h) - f(x-h) = \frac{2hf'(x) + \frac{h^3}{6}(f'''(c_1) + f'''(c_2))}{2h}$

$$= f'(x) + \frac{h^2}{12}(f'''(c_1) + f'''(c_2)). \quad \text{Thus } \frac{3}{3}$$

$$\left| f'(x) - \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} \right| \leq \frac{h^2}{6} M \quad \text{where } M = \max_{t \in [x-h, x+h]} |f'''(t)|.$$

6. Explain how roundoff error implies a minimum optimal size for  $h$  and how many significant digits are lost by the subtraction of two nearly equal numbers in the numerator of the above formula when performing numerical differentiation.

Suppose  $f$  is computed to  $k$  significant digits. Then

$\text{Rel } f_A(x) \approx 5 \times 10^{-k}$  and if  $h$  is small enough that

$|f(t+h)| \leq 2|f(t)|$  for all  $|t-x| \leq h$  then

$\frac{3}{3}$

$$E = \left| \frac{f_A(x+h) - f_A(x-h) - f'(x)}{2h} \right| \leq \frac{3|f(x)| \cdot 5 \times 10^{-k}}{2h} + \frac{h^2}{6} M = \frac{\epsilon}{h} + \frac{h^2}{6} M,$$

minimizing implies  $-\frac{\epsilon}{h^2} + \frac{h}{3} M = 0$  so  $h = \sqrt[3]{\frac{3\epsilon}{M}}$ . Thus

$$E = \epsilon \sqrt[3]{\frac{M}{3\epsilon}} + \left( \sqrt[3]{\frac{3\epsilon}{M}} \right)^2 \frac{M}{6} = \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{6} M^{1/3} \epsilon^{2/3} = \frac{\sqrt[3]{3}}{6} M^{1/3} (Q_0) |f(x)|^{2/3} 10^{-2k/3},$$

Therefore about  $1/3$  of the significant digits are lost.

6.

7. Let  $f$  be a differentiable function defined on  $\mathbf{R}$  with root  $\alpha$ . Given an initial guess of  $x_0$  state Newton's method for approximating  $\alpha$ .

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

✓/2

and iterate.

- (i) Suppose  $f$  is twice continuously differentiable and  $f'(\alpha) \neq 0$ . Show that Newton's method is quadratically convergent.

$$0 = f(x) = f(x_n) + (x-x_n)f'(x_n) + \frac{(x-x_n)^2}{2}f''(c_n)$$

divide by  $f'(x_n)$  to obtain

$$0 = \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} + (x-x_n) + \frac{(x-x_n)^2}{2} \frac{f''(c_n)}{f'(x_n)} \quad 3/3$$

Thus

$$\alpha - x_{n+1} = \alpha - x_n + \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} = -\frac{(x-x_n)^2}{2} f''(c_n)$$

Defining  $E_n = |\alpha - x_n|$  and  $M = \max_{t \in [x_n, \alpha]} |f''(t)| / \min_{t \in [x_n, \alpha]} |f'(t)|$ where  $h > 0$  we obtain nearby the rootthat  $E_{n+1} \leq M E_n^2$  which is quadratic convergence.

- (ii) Suppose that  $\alpha$  is a root of multiplicity 3 so that  $f'(\alpha) = 0$  and  $f''(\alpha) = 0$ . In this case the quotients

$$\lambda_n = \frac{x_{n+1} - x_n}{x_n - x_{n-1}} \rightarrow \lambda = \frac{m-1}{m}$$

will converge to

(A)  $1/2$

(B)  $2/3$

(C)  $1/3$

(D)  $3/2$

(E) none of these.

✓/2

fx