## Newton's Method

Your work should be presented in the form of a typed report using clear and properly punctuated English. Where appropriate include full program listings and output. If you choose to work in a group of two, please turn in independently prepared reports.

- 1. Consider Newton's method for solving f(x) = 0 where  $f(x) = x^2 2$  using the starting point  $x_0 = 1$ .
  - (i) Let  $e_n = x_n \sqrt{2}$  and create a table with three columns showing  $n, x_n$  and  $e_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, \dots, 8$ .
  - (ii) A sign of quadratic convergence is that the number of significant digits double at each iteration. Does that happen in this case?
  - (iii) Comment on how rounding error effects the numerical convergence of Newton's method.
  - (iv) Write  $|e_{n+1}| = M_n |e_n|^2$  and compute  $M_n$  for n = 1, 2, 3, and 4. In this case is  $M_n$  bigger or less than 1?
  - (v) [Extra Credit and for Math/CS 666] Use multi-precision arithmetic with at least 10 000 digits precision to determine the asymptotic value of  $M_n$  when n is large. Can you also find this value analytically?
- **2.** Consider the secant method for solving f(x) = 0 where  $f(x) = x^2 2$  using the starting points  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_1 = 1$ .
  - (i) Let  $e_n = x_n \sqrt{2}$  and create a table with three columns showing  $n, x_n$  and  $e_n$  for  $n = 0, 1, \ldots, 8$ .
  - (ii) A sign of quadratic convergence is that the number of significant digits double at each iteration. Does that happen in this case?
  - (iii) According to Wikipedia https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Secant\_method the order of convergence of the secant method is

$$\alpha = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \approx 1.618,$$

which is less than quadratic. Write  $|e_{n+1}| = M_n |e_n|^{\alpha}$  and compute  $M_n$  for n = 1, 2, ..., 7. In this case is  $M_n$  bigger or less than 1?

(iv) [Extra Credit and for Math/CS 666] Prove that the order of convergence of the secant method is  $\alpha$ . If you look the proof up, please cite your references and rewrite the proof in your own words.

Math/CS 466/666 Programming Project 1

**3.** Consider the fixed point iteration for solving f(x) = 0 given by  $x_{n+1} = h(x_n)$  where

$$h(x) = x - \frac{f(x)f'(x)}{[f'(x)]^2 - f(x)f''(x)}$$

- (i) Show that f(x) = 0 implies h(x) = x. Conversely show that if h(x) = x then either f(x) = 0 or f'(x) = 0.
- (ii) Compute h'(x) and show that

$$h'(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{when } f(x) = 0 \text{ and } f'(x) \neq 0\\ 2 & \text{when } f(x) \neq 0, \ f'(x) = 0 \text{ and } f''(x) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Conclude that the fixed points of h for which f(x) = 0 are stable, but the fixed points for which f'(x) = 0 are not.

- (iii) Use this fixed point iteration with  $x_0 = 1$  to solve f(x) = 0 where  $f(x) = x^2 2$ . Compare the performance of this method with your results for Newton's method.
- (iv) Suppose  $f(x) = (x \xi)^m q(x)$  where  $\lim_{x \to \xi} q(x) \neq 0$ . Let g(x) = x f(x)/f'(x) as in Newton's method and show that

$$\lim_{x \to \xi} g'(x) = \frac{m-1}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \to \xi} h'(x) = 0.$$

Conclude that even when f'(x) = 0 this method, unlike Newton's method, has an accelerating rate of convergence as  $x_n$  approaches the solution  $x = \xi$  to f(x) = 0.

**4.** The function

$$f(x) = 2\cos(5x) + 2\cos(4x) + 6\cos(3x) + 4\cos(2x) + 10\cos(x) + 3$$

has two roots on the interval [0,3]; one root is near 1 and the other near 2.

- (i) Use Newton's method  $x_{n+1} = g(x_n)$  with  $x_0 = 1$  and also with  $x_0 = 2$  to approximate these two roots. Use the fact that the exact roots are  $\pi/3$  and  $2\pi/3$  to compute the error  $e_n$  at each iteration for n = 0, 1, ..., 18.
- (ii) Use the method  $x_{n+1} = h(x_n)$  with  $x_0 = 1$  and again also with  $x_0 = 2$  to approximate these two roots. Again use the fact that the exact roots are  $\pi/3$  and  $2\pi/3$  to compute the error  $e_n$  at each iteration for n = 0, 1, ..., 18.
- (iii) Comment on the rate of convergence and the effects of rounding error in the above two computations.

Math/CS 466/666 Programming Project 1

5. [Extra Credit and Math/CS 666] Define

$$x_{n+1} = x_n - \frac{F_n F'_n}{[F'_n]^2 - F_n F''_n}$$

where

$$F_{n} = f\left(\frac{x_{n} + 2x_{n-1} + x_{n-2}}{4}\right),$$
  

$$F_{n}' = \frac{2}{x_{n} - x_{n-2}} \left\{ f\left(\frac{x_{n} + x_{n-1}}{2}\right) - f\left(\frac{x_{n-1} + x_{n-2}}{2}\right) \right\},$$
  

$$F_{n}'' = \frac{2}{x_{n} - x_{n-2}} \left(\frac{f(x_{n}) - f(x_{n-1})}{x_{n} - x_{n-1}} - \frac{f(x_{n-1}) - f(x_{n-2})}{x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}}\right)$$

to create a secant-method-like approximation of the method given by h that doesn't involve f' and f''. Study this method both numerically and analytically. Test this method for the functions  $f(x) = x^2 - 2$  and

$$f(x) = 2\cos(5x) + 2\cos(4x) + 6\cos(3x) + 4\cos(2x) + 10\cos(x) + 3.$$

How does this method compare to the usual secant method? Can you think of an improvement that works better and still doesn't involve f' or f''?