

Adaptive Gauss Quadrature

1. Find an orthogonal polynomial p_4 of degree 4 such that

$$\int_{-1}^1 q(x)p_4(x) = 0$$

for every polynomial $q(x)$ of degree 3 or less. You may use Maple and the Gram-Schmidt process as done in class. Alternatively, use your differential equation skills to find a polynomial solution to the differential equation

$$(1 - x^2)y'' - 2xy' + 20y = 0.$$

The Maple worksheet

```

1 restart;
2 kernelopts(printbytes=false);
3 dp:=(f,g)->int(f*g,x=-1..1);
4 nm:=f->sqrt(dp(f,f));
5 N:=5;
6 U:=seq(x^k,k=0..N-1);
7 for i from 1 to N
8 do
9   tV[i]:=U[i];
10  for j from 1 to i-1
11  do
12    tV[i]:=tV[i]-V[j]*dp(V[j],U[i]);
13  od;
14  V[i]:=tV[i]/nm(tV[i]);
15 od;
16 latex(V[5],"p4.tex");
17 with(CodeGeneration);
18 codeoptions:=declare=[x::double],optimize=true,output="p4.i";
19 a:=coeff(V[5],x^4);
20 t1:=simplify(V[5]/a);
21 p4:=unapply(t1,x);
22 C(p4,codeoptions);
23 dp4:=unapply(diff(t1,x),x);
24 C(dp4,codeoptions);

```

produces the output

```

|\^/|      Maple 9.5 (IBM INTEL LINUX)
._|\|    |/_|. Copyright (c) Maplesoft, a division of Waterloo Maple Inc. 2004
\  MAPLE  / All rights reserved. Maple is a trademark of
<____ > Waterloo Maple Inc.

```

```

|      Type ? for help.
> restart;
> kernelopts(printbytes=false);
true

> dp:=(f,g)->int(f*g,x=-1..1);
dp := (f, g) ->  $\int_{-1}^1 f g dx$ 

> nm:=f->sqrt(dp(f,f));
nm := f -> sqrt(dp(f, f))

> N:=5;
N := 5

> U:=[seq(x^k,k=0..N-1)];
U := [1, x, x2, x3, x4]

> for i from 1 to N
> do
>   tV[i]:=U[i];
>   for j from 1 to i-1
>   do
>     tV[i]:=tV[i]-V[j]*dp(V[j],U[i]);
>   od;
>   V[i]:=tV[i]/nm(tV[i]);
> od;
tV[1] := 1
V[1] :=  $\frac{1}{2}$ 
tV[2] := x
V[2] :=  $\frac{x^2}{2}$ 

```

$$V[2] := \frac{\quad}{2}$$

$$tV[3] := x^2$$

$$V[3] := \frac{3(x^2 - 1/3)^{10}}{4}$$

$$tV[4] := x^3$$

$$V[4] := \frac{5(x^3 - 3/5 x)^{14}}{4}$$

$$tV[5] := x^4$$

$$V[5] := \frac{105(x^4 + 3/35 - 6/7 x^2)^{1/2}}{16}$$

```
> latex(V[5], "p4.tex");
```

```
> with(CodeGenration);
```

```
Warning, the protected name Matlab has been redefined and unprotected
[C, Fortran, IntermediateCode, Java, LanguageDefinition, Matlab, Names, Save,
```

```
Translate, VisualBasic]
```

```
> codeoptions:=declare=[x::double],optimize=true,output="p4.i";
```

```
codeoptions := declare = [x::double], optimize = true, output = "p4.i"
```

```
> a:=coeff(V[5],x^4);
```

$$a := \frac{105^2}{16}$$

```
> t1:=simplify(V[5]/a);
```

```

          4          2
t1 := x  + 3/35 - 6/7 x

> p4:=unapply(t1,x);

          4          2
p4 := x -> x  + 3/35 - 6/7 x

> C(p4,codeoptions);
Warning, cannot translate type double, using default type
Warning, procedure/module options ignored
> dp4:=unapply(diff(t1,x),x);

          3
dp4 := x -> 4 x  - 12/7 x

> C(dp4,codeoptions);
Warning, cannot translate type double, using default type
Warning, procedure/module options ignored
> quit
bytes used=2414488, alloc=2031244, time=0.08

```

and uses the Gram–Schmidt process to obtain

$$p_4(x) = \frac{105}{16} \left(x^4 + \frac{3}{35} - 6/7 x^2 \right) \sqrt{2}$$

Note that line 16 in the Maple script converts to latex format and writes the output into the file `p4.tex` for inclusion in this document while lines 22 and 24 create the file `p4.i` containing C code for use in the next problem. Since the roots are the same for any rescaled versions of the polynomial, we divide out by the coefficient of x^4 for convenience to obtain a monic polynomial for use in the C code.

Before continuing to the next problem, let's also find the fourth degree orthogonal polynomial using the differential equation. As we know the solution will be a fourth degree polynomial we substitute $y = ax^4 + bx^3 + cx^2 + dx + e$ into the differential equation and solve for the coefficients. Since

$$y' = 4ax^3 + 3bx^2 + 2cx + d \quad \text{and} \quad y'' = 12ax^2 + 6bx + 2c,$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (1-x^2)y'' &= -12ax^4 - 6bx^3 + (12a-2c)x^2 + 6bx + 2c \\ -2xy' &= -8ax^4 - 6bx^3 - 4cx^2 - 2dx \\ 20y &= 20ax^4 + 20bx^3 + 20cx^2 + 20dx + 20e \end{aligned}$$

$$0 = 8bx^3 + (12a + 14c)x^2 + (6b + 18d)x + 2c + 20e$$

It follows that

$$8b = 0, \quad 12a + 14c = 0, \quad 6b + 18d = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad 2c + 20e = 0.$$

We immediately deduce from the first and third equations that $b = 0$ and $d = 0$. This leaves two equations in three unknowns. Setting $a = 1$ arbitrarily yields $c = -6/7$ and $e = 3/35$. The resulting orthogonal polynomial is

$$x^4 + \frac{-6}{7}x^2 + \frac{3}{35}.$$

Note that, aside from the normalization $105\sqrt{2}/16$, this is the same polynomial as found using the Gram–Schmidt process.

2. The roots of $p_4(x)$ are real and lie in the interval $[-1, 1]$. Use Newton's method with suitable starting points to find all four roots x_0, x_1, x_2 and x_3 as accurately as possible. Compute the residuals and the derivatives

$$p_4(x_j) \quad \text{and} \quad p_4'(x_j) \quad \text{for} \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4$$

and comment on the accuracy of your roots.

The C code for the polynomial p_4 and its derivative generated in the previous step is

```

1 double p4 (double x)
2 {
3   double t2;
4   double t3;
5   t2 = x * x;
6   t3 = t2 * t2;
7   return(t3 + 0.3e1 / 0.35e2 - 0.6e1 / 0.7e1 * t2);
8 }
9 double dp4 (double x)
10 {
11   double t2;
12   t2 = x * x;
13   return(0.4e1 * t2 * x - 0.12e2 / 0.7e1 * x);
14 }
```

We now include these functions into a C program to compute the roots of the polynomial using Newton's method. The C code

```

1 #include <stdio.h>
2 #include <math.h>
3
4 #include "p4.i"
5
6 double g(double x){
7   return x-p4(x)/dp4(x);
8 }
9
10 double guess[] = {-.7,-.3,.3,.7};
11 #define nguess (sizeof(guess)/sizeof(double))
12
13 int main(){
14   printf("double roots[]={\n");
15   for(int i=0;i<nguess;i++){
16     double x=guess[i];
17     for(int k=0;k<20;k++) x=g(x);
18     printf("\t%24.15e%c // p4(x)=%g\n",
19           x,i<nguess-1?' ',' ',p4(x));
```

```
20     }
21     printf("};\n");
22     return 0;
23 }
```

produces the output

```
double roots[]={
    -8.611363115940526e-01, // p4(x)=5.51317e-17
    -3.399810435848563e-01, // p4(x)=1.31798e-17
     3.399810435848563e-01, // p4(x)=1.31798e-17
     8.611363115940526e-01  // p4(x)=5.51317e-17
};
```

In order to comment on the accuracy of our solutions we have computed the residual by plugging each of the roots into p_4 to see how close the result is to 0. In each of the cases the residual is on the order 10^{-17} , which indicates that the solutions are accurate.

3. Find weights w_k for $k = 0, 1, 2, 3$ such that

$$\int_{-1}^1 x^j dx = \sum_{k=0}^3 w_k x_k^j \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$

Verify that

$$\int_{-1}^1 x^j dx = \sum_{k=0}^3 w_k x_k^j \quad \text{for } j = 4, 5, 6, 7.$$

The Maple script

```

1 restart;
2 Digits:=15;
3 kernelopts(printbytes=false);
4 r:=[-8.611363115940526e-01,
5     -3.399810435848563e-01,
6     3.399810435848563e-01,
7     8.611363115940526e-01];
8 n:=nops(r);
9 approx:=add(w[k]*f(r[k]),k=1..n);
10 eq:=int(f(x),x=-1..1)=approx;
11 eqf:=unapply(eq,f);
12 eqs:={seq(eqf(x->x^k),k=0..n-1)};
13 vbls:=seq(w[k],k=1..n);
14 t1:=solve(eqs,{vbls});
15 t2:=subs(t1,[vbls]);
16 with(CodeGeneration);
17 C(t2,resultname="weights",output="w.i");
18 t3:=subs(t1,rhs(eq))-lhs(eq);
19 err4:=unapply(t3,f);
20 seq([j,err4(x->x^j)],j=n..2*n);

```

with output

```

|\^/|      Maple 9.5 (IBM INTEL LINUX)
._|\|    |/_|. Copyright (c) Maplesoft, a division of Waterloo Maple Inc. 2004
 \  MAPLE / All rights reserved. Maple is a trademark of
 <____ ____> Waterloo Maple Inc.
   |      Type ? for help.
> restart;
> Digits:=15;

                               Digits := 15

> kernelopts(printbytes=false);

                               true

```



```

> r:=[-8.611363115940526e-01,
> -3.399810435848563e-01,
> 3.399810435848563e-01,
> 8.611363115940526e-01];
r := [-0.8611363115940526, -0.3399810435848563, 0.3399810435848563,
      0.8611363115940526]

> n:=nops(r);
                                n := 4

> approx:=add(w[k]*f(r[k]),k=1..n);
approx := w[1] f(-0.8611363115940526) + w[2] f(-0.3399810435848563)
        + w[3] f(0.3399810435848563) + w[4] f(0.8611363115940526)

> eq:=int(f(x),x=-1..1)=approx;
      1
      /
      |
eq := | f(x) dx = w[1] f(-0.8611363115940526) + w[2] f(-0.3399810435848563)
      |
      /
      -1

      + w[3] f(0.3399810435848563) + w[4] f(0.8611363115940526)

> eqf:=unapply(eq,f);
      1
      /
      |
eqf := f -> | f(x) dx = w[1] f(-0.8611363115940526)
      |
      /
      -1

      + w[2] f(-0.3399810435848563) + w[3] f(0.3399810435848563)

      + w[4] f(0.8611363115940526)

> eqs:={seq(eqf(x->x^k),k=0..n-1)};
eqs := {2 = 1. w[1] + 1. w[2] + 1. w[3] + 1. w[4], 0 = -0.8611363115940526 w[1]
        - 0.3399810435848563 w[2] + 0.3399810435848563 w[3]

```

```

+ 0.8611363115940526 w[4], 2/3 = 0.741555747145810 w[1]

+ 0.115587109997048 w[2] + 0.115587109997048 w[3] + 0.741555747145810 w[4]

, 0 = -0.638580580938515 w[1] - 0.0392974262817538 w[2]

+ 0.0392974262817538 w[3] + 0.638580580938515 w[4]}

> vbls:=seq(w[k],k=1..n);
          vbls := w[1], w[2], w[3], w[4]

> t1:=solve(eqs,{vbls});
t1 := {w[4] = 0.347854845137453, w[3] = 0.652145154862547,

      w[2] = 0.652145154862547, w[1] = 0.347854845137453}

> t2:=subs(t1,[vbls]);
t2 := [

      0.347854845137453, 0.652145154862547, 0.652145154862547, 0.347854845137453]

> with(CodeGeneration);
Warning, the protected name Matlab has been redefined and unprotected
[C, Fortran, IntermediateCode, Java, LanguageDefinition, Matlab, Names, Save,

  Translate, VisualBasic]

> C(t2,resultname="weights",output="w.i");
> t3:=subs(t1,rhs(eq))-lhs(eq);
t3 := 0.347854845137453 f(-0.8611363115940526)

      + 0.652145154862547 f(-0.3399810435848563)

      + 0.652145154862547 f(0.3399810435848563)

      + 0.347854845137453 f(0.8611363115940526) -
      |
      | f(x) dx
      |
      /
      -1

```

```

> err4:=unapply(t3,f);
err4 := f -> 0.347854845137453 f(-0.8611363115940526)

+ 0.652145154862547 f(-0.3399810435848563)

+ 0.652145154862547 f(0.3399810435848563)

+ 0.347854845137453 f(0.8611363115940526) -  $\int_{-1}^1 f(x) dx$ 

> seq([j,err4(x->x^j)],j=n..2*n);
-14
[4, -0.2 10-14 ], [5, 0.], [6, 0.], [7, 0.], [8, -0.011609977324264]

> quit
bytes used=2611740, alloc=2096768, time=0.07

creates the C include file which displays the weights
1 weights[0] = 0.347854845137453e0;
2 weights[1] = 0.652145154862547e0;
3 weights[2] = 0.652145154862547e0;
4 weights[3] = 0.347854845137453e0;

```

and also computes the error in the resulting quadrature formula against x^j for $j = 4, 5, 6, 7$ as well as $j = 8$. The output from line 20 indicates that $j = 4$ has a residual error of -0.2×10^{-14} while $j = 5, 6, 7$ the residual error is exactly zero. Even the $j = 4$ value is close enough to zero to verify that the quadrature formula is exact for $j = 4, 5, 6, 7$. Unsurprisingly, there is significant error of about -0.0116 when $j = 8$.

4. Prove the equality

$$\int_a^b f(t)dt = \frac{b-a}{2} \int_{-1}^1 f\left(a + \frac{b-a}{2}(x+1)\right)dx.$$

This is a change of variables or u -substitution such that

$$t = a + \frac{b-a}{2}(x+1) \quad \text{with} \quad dt = \frac{b-a}{2}dx.$$

The limits of integration are correct since

$$t|_{x=-1} = a + \frac{b-a}{2}(-1+1) = a$$

and

$$t|_{x=1} = a + \frac{b-a}{2}(1+1) = a + b - a = b.$$

5. Define

$$G_4(a, b, f) = \frac{b-a}{2} \sum_{k=0}^3 w_k f\left(a + \frac{b-a}{2}(x_k + 1)\right)$$

We know from the verification in question 3 as well as the general theory of Gauss quadrature that

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - G_4(a, b, f) \right| = \mathcal{O}((b-a)^9) \quad \text{as } b-a \rightarrow 0.$$

Let $c = (a+b)/2$ and use Richardson extrapolation to find α and β such that

$$R(a, b, f) = \alpha G_4(a, b, f) + \beta(G_4(a, c, f) + G_4(c, b, f))$$

satisfies

$$\left| \int_a^b f(t) dt - R(a, b, f) \right| = \mathcal{O}((b-a)^{10}) \quad \text{as } b-a \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that K is the constant so that

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt - G_4(a, b, f) \approx K(b-a)^9$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(t) dt - R(a, b, f) &= \int_a^b f(t) dt - \alpha G_4(a, b, f) - \beta(G_4(a, c, f) + G_4(c, b, f)) \\ &= \alpha \left(\int_a^b f(t) dt - G_4(a, b, f) \right) \\ &\quad + \beta \left(\int_a^c f(t) dt - G_4(a, c, f) \right) + \beta \left(\int_c^b f(t) dt - G_4(c, b, f) \right) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha - \beta) \int_a^b f(t) dt \\ &= \alpha K(a-b)^9 + \beta K(a-c)^9 + \beta K(c-b)^9 + (1 - \alpha - \beta) \int_a^b f(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

To make the last term vanish we require $\alpha + \beta = 1$. Since

$$a - c = a - (a+b)/2 = (a-b)/2 \quad \text{and} \quad c - b = (a+b)/2 - b = (a-b)/2$$

it follows that

$$\int_a^b f(t) dt - R(a, b, f) = \alpha K(a-b)^9 + 2(1-\alpha)K(a-b)^9/2^9.$$

The right side of the above equality vanishes when

$$\alpha + (1-\alpha)/2^8 = 0 \quad \text{or equivalently} \quad \alpha = \frac{-1}{2^8 - 1} = \frac{-1}{255}.$$

Therefore $\alpha = -1/255$ and $\beta = 256/255$.

6. Consider the adaptive quadrature rule given by

$$Q(a, b, f, \varepsilon) = \begin{cases} R(a, b, f) & \text{if } |G_4(a, b, f) - R(a, b, f)| < \varepsilon \\ Q(a, c, f, \varepsilon/2) \\ \quad + Q(c, b, f, \varepsilon/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and use this rule to approximate the improper integral

$$\int_0^1 f(t) dt \quad \text{where} \quad f(t) = \frac{1}{t} e^{-(\log t)^2}.$$

Since the exact value of the integral is $\sqrt{\pi}/2$ check that your approximation satisfies

$$\text{error} = \left| Q(0, 1, f, \varepsilon) - \frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2} \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{when} \quad \varepsilon = 10^{-7}.$$

What happens to the above approximation when $\varepsilon = 10^{-p}$ for $p = 8, 9, 10, \dots, 15$?

Recall the include file `r.i` with the roots generated by Newton's method that was created in answer to question 2 of this project given by

```
1 double roots[]={
2     -8.611363115940526e-01, // p4(x)=5.51317e-17
3     -3.399810435848563e-01, // p4(x)=1.31798e-17
4     3.399810435848563e-01, // p4(x)=1.31798e-17
5     8.611363115940526e-01 // p4(x)=5.51317e-17
6 };
```

and the include file `w.i` with the weights generated by solving the resulting linear systems of equations in question 3 given by

```
1 weights[0] = 0.347854845137453e0;
2 weights[1] = 0.652145154862547e0;
3 weights[2] = 0.652145154862547e0;
4 weights[3] = 0.347854845137453e0;
```

These two files are used by the following C code which implements the quadrature rule:

```
1 #include <stdio.h>
2 #include <stdlib.h>
3 #include <math.h>
4
5 #include "r.i"
6 double weights[4];
7 typedef double (*function)(double x);
8
9 double G4(double a, double b, function f){
```

```
10     double h=(b-a)/2,r=0;
11     for(int k=0;k<4;k++){
12         double x=a+h*(roots[k]+1);
13         r+=weights[k]*f(x);
14     }
15     return h*r;
16 }
17
18 double alpha=-1.0/255, beta=256.0/255;
19 double R(double a,double b,function f){
20     double c=(a+b)/2;
21     return alpha*G4(a,b,f)+beta*(G4(a,c,f)+G4(c,b,f));
22 }
23
24 double Q(double a,double b,function f,double epsilon){
25     double t1=G4(a,b,f),t2=R(a,b,f);
26     if(fabs(t1-t2)<epsilon) return t2;
27     double c=(a+b)/2;
28     return Q(a,c,f,epsilon/2)+Q(c,b,f,epsilon/2);
29 }
30
31 double f(double t){
32     double t1=log(t);
33     return exp(-t1*t1)/t;
34 }
35
36 int main(){
37     #include "w.i"
38     printf(
39 "Adaptive Guassian Quadrature Using Richardson Extrapolation.\n\n");
40     printf("%24s %24s\n","roots","weights");
41     for(int k=0;k<4;k++){
42         printf("%24.15e %24.15e\n",roots[k],weights[k]);
43     }
44     printf("\n%5s %18s %24s %24s\n","p","epsilon","Q","|Q-sqrt(pi)/2|");
45     double exact=sqrt(M_PI)/2;
46     for(int p=7;p<16;p++){
47         double epsilon=pow(10.0,-(double)p);
48         double z=Q(0,1,f,epsilon);
49         printf("%5d %18.10e %24.15e %24.15e\n",
50             p,epsilon,z,fabs(z-exact));
51     }
52     return 0;
53 }
```

Output from this program is

Adaptive Guassian Quadrature Using Richardson Extrapolation.

	roots	weights	
	-8.611363115940526e-01	3.478548451374530e-01	
	-3.399810435848563e-01	6.521451548625470e-01	
	3.399810435848563e-01	6.521451548625470e-01	
	8.611363115940526e-01	3.478548451374530e-01	

p	epsilon	Q	Q-sqrt(pi)/2
7	1.0000000000e-07	8.862269253240691e-01	1.286888373641659e-10
8	1.0000000000e-08	8.862269254533175e-01	5.595524044110789e-13
9	1.0000000000e-09	8.862269254526169e-01	1.409983241273949e-13
10	1.0000000000e-10	8.862269254527707e-01	1.276756478318930e-14
11	1.0000000000e-11	8.862269254527578e-01	1.110223024625157e-16
12	1.0000000000e-12	8.862269254527579e-01	0.000000000000000e+00
13	1.0000000000e-13	8.862269254527579e-01	0.000000000000000e+00
14	1.0000000000e-14	8.862269254527579e-01	0.000000000000000e+00
15	1.0000000000e-15	8.862269254527579e-01	0.000000000000000e+00

which indicates the method works for all values of ε . Note that we are lucky in this case that the quadrature method converges to exactly the same value as computed for $\text{sqrt}(M_PI)/2$ by the built-in functions. I had expected that the program would abort with a stack overflow when the tolerance ε was specified to be less than the machine precision of the floating point variables. I found it interesting that this didn't happen and in retrospect am now not sure that it ever could. It would be interesting to know whether there is a function f and a choice for $\varepsilon > 0$ for which the program fails to run.