

~ Key

1. Find a suitable trigonometric identity so that  $1 - \cos x$  can be accurately computed for small  $x$  with calls to the system functions for  $\sin x$  or  $\cos x$ .

$$\sin(a+b) = \sin a \cos b + \cos a \sin b$$

$$\cos(a+b) = \cos a \cos b - \sin a \sin b$$

$$\cos x = \cos\left(\frac{x}{2} + \frac{x}{2}\right) = \cos^2 \frac{x}{2} - \sin^2 \frac{x}{2}$$

$$-\cos x = \sin^2 \frac{x}{2} - \cos^2 \frac{x}{2}$$

$$1 = \sin^2 \frac{x}{2} + \cos^2 \frac{x}{2}$$

---


$$1 - \cos x = 2 \sin^2 \frac{x}{2}$$

2. State Taylor's theorem including all hypothesis and the remainder term.

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a  $n+1$  times continuously differentiable function.

Then

$$f(x+h) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{h^k}{k!} f^{(k)}(x) + \frac{h^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(\xi)$$

for some  $\xi$  between  $x$  and  $x+h$ .

3. State Newton's method.

Let  $f: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  be a differentiable such that  $f(p) = 0$ . Newton's method forms a sequence of approximations of  $p$  by starting with an initial approximation  $x_0$  and defining

$$x_{n+1} = g(x_n) \text{ where } g(x) = x - f(x)/f'(x).$$

4. Prove only one of the following:

(i) Taylor's theorem.

(ii) Let  $f$  be a twice continuously differentiable function and  $p$  be a point such that  $f(p) = 0$  and  $f'(p) \neq 0$ . Prove that Newton's method is quadratically convergent provided  $x_0$  is close enough to  $p$ .

(i) Taylor's Theorem: By the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

$$f(x+h) - f(x) = \int_x^{x+h} f'(t) dt.$$

Now integrate by parts taking  $u = f'(t)$ ,  $dv = dt$   
 $du = f''(t) dt$   $v = t + c$

Thus

$$\int_x^{x+h} f'(t) dt = (t+c)f'(t) \Big|_x^{x+h} - \int_x^{x+h} (t+c)f''(t) dt$$

Choose the constant  $c = -x-h$  so plugging  $t = x+h$  in the first term on the right hand side of the above gives zero.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{x+h} f'(t) dt &= hf'(x) - \int_x^{x+h} (t-x-h)f''(t) dt \\ &= hf'(x) + \int_x^{x+h} (x+h-t)f''(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Integrate by parts again using  $u = f''(t)$   $dv = x+h-t$   
 to obtain  $du = f'''(t) dt$   $v = -\frac{(x+h-t)^2}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{x+h} (x+h-t)f''(t) dt &= -\frac{(x+h-t)^2}{2} f'''(t) \Big|_x^{x+h} + \int_x^{x+h} \frac{(x+h-t)^2}{2} f'''(t) dt \\ &= \frac{h^2}{2} f'''(x) + \int_x^{x+h} \frac{(x+h-t)^2}{2} f'''(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

Repeatedly integrate by parts until arriving at the  $n+1$  derivative to obtain

$$f(x+h) = f(x) + hf'(x) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x) + \dots + \frac{h^n}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) + \int_x^{x+h} \frac{(x+h-t)^n}{n!} f^{(n+1)}(t) dt.$$

Proof of Taylor's theorem or the quadratic convergence of Newton's method continues ...

Taylor's theorem continues...

Now apply the weighted mean-value theorem for integrals to the last term taking the weights

$$w(t) = \frac{(x+h-t)^n}{n!} \text{ for } h \geq 0 \text{ or } \left(\frac{t-x-h}{n!}\right)^n \text{ for } h < 0.$$

Thus, when  $h \geq 0$  we have

$$\int_x^{x+h} w(t) f^{(n+1)}(t) dt = \left( \int_x^{x+h} w(t) dt \right) f^{(n+1)}(\xi) \text{ for some } \xi \in [x, x+h]$$

Since  $\int_x^{x+h} w(t) dt = \int_x^{x+h} \frac{(x+h-t)^n}{n!} dt = \frac{h^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}$  the result follows.

If  $h < 0$  then for some  $\xi \in [x+h, x]$  we have

$$\int_{x+h}^x w(t) f^{(n+1)}(t) dt = \left( \int_{x+h}^x \frac{(t-x-h)^n}{n!} dt \right) f^{(n+1)}(\xi) = \frac{(-h)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(\xi).$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \int_x^{x+h} \frac{(x+h-t)^n}{n!} f^{(n+1)}(t) dt &= (-1)^{n+1} \int_{x+h}^x \frac{(t-x-h)^n}{n!} f^{(n+1)}(t) dt \\ &= (-1)^{n+1} \int_{x+h}^x w(t) f^{(n+1)}(t) dt = \frac{h^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(\xi) \end{aligned}$$

and again the result follows.

4. (ii) Prove the quadratic convergence of Newton's method.

By Taylor's theorem there are  $\xi_n$  between  $x_n$  and  $p$  such that

$$f(p) = f(x_n) + (p-x_n)f'(x_n) + \frac{(p-x_n)^2}{2}f''(\xi_n).$$

Let  $e_n = p - x_n$ . Then

$$e_{n+1} = p - x_{n+1} = p - g(x_n) = p - \left(x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}\right) = e_n + \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

Assuming  $x_n$  is close enough to  $p$  then  $f'(p) \neq 0$  implies that  $f'(x_n) \neq 0$ . Dividing Taylor's theorem by  $f'(x_n)$  and using the fact that  $f(p) = 0$  now obtains

$$0 = \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} + e_n + \frac{e_n^2}{2} \frac{f''(\xi_n)}{f'(x_n)}.$$

Consequently

$$e_{n+1} = - \frac{e_n^2}{2} \frac{f''(\xi_n)}{f'(x_n)}$$

Now let  $\delta > 0$  be chosen so that  $|f'(t)| > \frac{1}{2}|f'(p)|$  for all  $|t-p| < \delta$  and define  $A = \max\{|f''(t)| : |t-p| \leq \delta\}$ . Note that since  $f''$  is continuous this maximum exists. It follows that

$$|p - x_{n+1}| \leq M |p - x_n|^2 \quad \text{for } M = \frac{A}{|f'(p)|}.$$

For every  $x_n$  such that  $|x_n - p| < \delta$ . Assuming  $x_n$  converges to  $p$  this shows quadratic convergence. To guarantee that  $x_n$  converges it is sufficient to choose  $x_0$  close enough to  $p$  such that  $M|p - x_0| < 1$ .

Math 701 Quiz 1 Version A

5. Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$  be a symmetric positive semidefinite matrix. Consider the

**Power Method.** Choose  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  randomly. Then recursively compute  $y_n = Ax_n$  and  $x_{n+1} = y_n / \|y_n\|$  for  $n \geq 0$ .

Show for almost every choice of  $x_0$  that the limits

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| \quad \text{and} \quad \xi = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$$

exist and that  $\lambda$  and  $\xi$  form an eigenvalue-eigenvector pair for  $A$  such that  $A\xi = \lambda\xi$ .

Since  $A$  is symmetric the spectral theorem for symmetric matrices implies there is an orthonormal basis of eigenvectors  $\xi_k$  and eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$  such that  $A\xi_k = \lambda_k \xi_k$  and  $\xi_i \cdot \xi_j = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } i=j \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$ .

Moreover, since  $A$  is positive semidefinite then  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  for all  $k$ . Further assume  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d$ . Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$  choose  $c_k$  such that

$$x_0 = c_1 \xi_1 + c_2 \xi_2 + \dots + c_d \xi_d.$$

Since  $x_0$  was chosen randomly, then with probability one it must happen that  $c_1 \neq 0$ . By definition

$$y_0 = Ax_0 = c_1 \lambda_1 \xi_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \xi_2 + \dots + c_d \lambda_d \xi_d.$$

and furthermore

$$x_1 = \frac{c_1 \lambda_1 \xi_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \xi_2 + \dots + c_d \lambda_d \xi_d}{\|c_1 \lambda_1 \xi_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 \xi_2 + \dots + c_d \lambda_d \xi_d\|}$$

By induction it follows that

$$x_n = \frac{c_1 \lambda_1^n \xi_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^n \xi_2 + \dots + c_d \lambda_d^n \xi_d}{\|c_1 \lambda_1^n \xi_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^n \xi_2 + \dots + c_d \lambda_d^n \xi_d\|}$$

and

$$y_n = \frac{c_1 \lambda_1^{n+1} \xi_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^{n+1} \xi_2 + \dots + c_d \lambda_d^{n+1} \xi_d}{\|c_1 \lambda_1^n \xi_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^n \xi_2 + \dots + c_d \lambda_d^n \xi_d\|}$$

Math 701 Quiz 1 Version A

Proof of the convergence of the power method continues ...

Now choose  $q$  so that

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_q \geq \lambda_{q+1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_d.$$

Then  $\left(\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_1}\right)^n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $k > q$

and  $\left(\frac{\lambda_k}{\lambda_1}\right) = 1$  for  $k \leq q$ .

Consequently

$$\|y_n\| = \frac{\|C_1 \lambda_1^{n+1} \xi_1 + \dots + C_q \lambda_q^{n+1} \xi_q + C_{q+1} \lambda_{q+1}^{n+1} \xi_{q+1} + \dots + C_d \lambda_d^{n+1} \xi_d\|}{\|C_1 \lambda_1^n \xi_1 + \dots + C_q \lambda_q^n \xi_q + C_{q+1} \lambda_{q+1}^n \xi_{q+1} + \dots + C_d \lambda_d^n \xi_d\|}$$

$$= \frac{\|(C_1 \xi_1 + \dots + C_q \xi_q) \lambda_1 + C_{q+1} \lambda_{q+1} \left(\frac{\lambda_{q+1}}{\lambda_1}\right)^n \xi_{q+1} + \dots + C_d \lambda_d \left(\frac{\lambda_d}{\lambda_1}\right)^n \xi_d\|}{\|C_1 \xi_1 + \dots + C_q \xi_q + C_{q+1} \left(\frac{\lambda_{q+1}}{\lambda_1}\right)^n \xi_{q+1} + \dots + C_d \left(\frac{\lambda_d}{\lambda_1}\right)^n \xi_d\|}$$

$$\rightarrow \frac{\|(C_1 \xi_1 + \dots + C_q \xi_q) \lambda_1\|}{\|C_1 \xi_1 + \dots + C_q \xi_q\|} = |\lambda_1| = \lambda_1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This shows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\|$  is an eigenvalue.

Proof of convergence of the power method continues...

To finish the proof note that, for similar reasons

$$x_n \rightarrow \frac{c_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \xi_q}{\|c_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \xi_q\|} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, since  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_q$  then

$$A \left( \frac{c_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \xi_q}{\|c_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \xi_q\|} \right) = \frac{c_1 \lambda_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \lambda_q \xi_q}{\|c_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \xi_q\|}$$

$$= \frac{c_1 \lambda_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \lambda_1 \xi_q}{\|c_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \xi_q\|} = \lambda_1 \frac{c_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \xi_q}{\|c_1 \xi_1 + \dots + c_q \xi_q\|}$$

Shows  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$  is an eigenvector.

Math 701 Quiz 1 Version A

6. For  $x \in \mathbf{R}^d$  define  $\|x\|_p = \left( \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|^p \right)^{1/p}$ .

(i) Prove that  $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$ .

(ii) [Extra credit] Prove or disprove that  $\|x\|_p \leq \|x\|_1$  for every  $p \geq 1$ .

By definition 
$$\|x\|_2^2 = \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|^2$$

and 
$$\|x\|_1^2 = \left( \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k| \right)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|^2 + 2 \sum_{k>l} |x_k| |x_l|$$

Since  $2 \sum_{k>l} |x_k| |x_l| \geq 0$  it follows that  $\|x\|_2^2 \leq \|x\|_1^2$ .

Taking square roots now yields that  $\|x\|_2 \leq \|x\|_1$ .



Extra credit: Upon taking powers of both sides we equivalently need to show that

$$\sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|^p \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k| \right)^p$$

We proceed by induction on  $d$ . If  $d=1$  the result is obvious. Suppose the result is true for  $d-1$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|^p = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} |x_k|^p + |x_d|^p \leq \left( \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} |x_k| \right)^p + |x_d|^p$$

Define  $a = \sum_{k=1}^{d-1} |x_k|$  and  $b = |x_d|$ .

Claim that  $a^p + b^p \leq (a+b)^p$ . If  $a=0$  this is obvious. Consequently, we may assume  $a \neq 0$ . Then writing  $a+b = a(1+x)$  where  $x = b/a$ . It follows that proving  $1+x^p \leq (1+x)^p$  is sufficient. To show this define  $f(x) = (1+x)^p - 1 - x^p$ . Then

$$f'(x) = p(1+x)^{p-1} - px^{p-1} \geq 0 \text{ for } x \geq 0$$

implies  $f$  is increasing. Since  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f$  is increasing then  $f(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Consequently we have  $1+x^p \leq (1+x)^p$  for all  $x \geq 0$ . Thus

$$\sum_{k=1}^d |x_k|^p \leq a^p + b^p = a^p(1+x^p) \leq a^p(1+x)^p = (a+b)^p = \left( \sum_{k=1}^d |x_k| \right)^p$$

finishes the induction and the proof.