

Recall

$$\mathcal{C} = \{O \subseteq \mathbb{R} : O \text{ is open}\}$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } f^{-1}(O) \in \mathcal{C} \text{ for every } O \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

We have defined

$\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ = the smallest collection of functions that contains the continuous functions.

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B \subseteq \mathbb{R} : \chi_B \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}\}$$

We are trying to show that

\mathcal{B} = the smallest σ -algebra that contains \mathcal{C} .

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } f^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for every } A \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

To this end we have defined

$$\mathcal{F}_1 = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } f^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for every } B \in \mathcal{B}\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_2 = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } f^{-1}(O) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for every } O \in \mathcal{C}\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_3 = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } f^{-1}((-\infty, a]) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for every } a \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_4 = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } f^{-1}((a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ for every } a \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

So far we have shown that $\mathcal{F}_2 = \mathcal{F}_3 = \mathcal{F}_4$. The book calls this set \mathcal{F} .

We know that \mathcal{F} contains the continuous functions, what is next is to show \mathcal{F} is closed under pointwise limits. First, let's recall the lemma proved last time

Lemma: Let $g_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions and define $g = \sup \{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then

$$g^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}((a, \infty)).$$

Now given $G_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and define $G = \inf \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Set $g_n = -G_n$. Then $g = \sup \{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} g &= \sup \{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \sup \{-G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &= -\inf \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = -G \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} G^{-1}((-\infty, a)) &= \{x : G(x) < a\} = \{x : -g(x) < a\} \\ &= \{x : g(x) > -a\} = g^{-1}((-a, \infty)) \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}((-a, \infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : g_n(x) > -a\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : -G_n(x) > -a\} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x : G_n(x) < a\} \\ &= \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n^{-1}((-\infty, a)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have proved that

Lemma Let $G_n: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of functions and define $G = \inf \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Then

$$G^{-1}((-\infty, a)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n^{-1}((-\infty, a)),$$

Comparing these two lemmas, one might wonder if

$$g^{-1}((-\infty, a)) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}((-\infty, a))$$

and

$$G^{-1}(a, \infty) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n^{-1}(a, \infty).$$

So are these true or false?

True	False
7	8

This is not decisive. Did anyone who voted false have a counterexample?

No?

Did anyone who voted true have a proof?

YES?

Really?

The proposed proof is

$$\begin{aligned}g^{-1}((-\infty, a)) &= g^{-1}([a, \infty))^c = \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}([a, \infty)) \right)^c \\ &= \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}([a, \infty))^c = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}((-\infty, a)).\end{aligned}$$

Does everyone believe this proof?

Does anyone see a possible problem?

In the second equality it is claimed

$$g^{-1}([a, \infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}([a, \infty))$$

This looks like last week's lemma

$$g^{-1}((a, \infty)) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}((a, \infty))$$

except with a closed interval $[a, \infty)$ rather than (a, ∞) .

Is the lemma still true for closed intervals?

Look at the proof from last week. Did it use the fact that the interval is open?

If so is there a way to get around that assumption?

Please think about this and we will talk about it next week.

4

Proposition. If $g_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and $g = \sup \{g_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $g \in \mathcal{F}$.

Similarly, if $G_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and $G = \inf \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof: Since $g_n \in \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_A$, then $g_n^{-1}((a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{B}$. Now

since \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra, then $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}((a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{B}$. By

the previous lemma $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{-1}((a, \infty)) = g^{-1}((a, \infty))$.

Therefore $g^{-1}((a, \infty)) \in \mathcal{B}$. This implies $g \in \mathcal{F}_A = \mathcal{F}$.

The proof that $G \in \mathcal{F}$ is similar.

Proposition: If $f_n \in \mathcal{F}$ and $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise, then $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof: Define $G_n = \sup \{f_k : k \geq n\}$. By the above proposition $G_n \in \mathcal{F}$. Now let $G = \inf \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Since $G_n \in \mathcal{F}$ the second part of the above proposition shows $G \in \mathcal{F}$. Now

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{k \geq n} f_k(x)$$

$$= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \{f_k(x) : k \geq n\} = \inf \left\{ \sup \{f_k(x) : k \geq n\} : n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$$

$$= \inf \{G_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = G(x)$$

implies that $f \in \mathcal{F}$.

Therefore \mathcal{F} is a set which contains the continuous functions and is closed under pointwise limits.

Since $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is the smallest such set, it follows that

$$\hat{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{F}.$$

In order to show $\hat{\mathcal{C}} = \mathcal{F}$ we need to prove

Lemma $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Proof: Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$

define $E_{n,k} = f^{-1} \left(\left[\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right) \right)$

Claim $E_{n,k} \in \mathcal{B}$

At this point the author of a book writes "why" in parenthesis. Although it sounds like the author doesn't know why, this is actually a euphemism which means the author has left out some details which he expects you can figure out on your own.

Lemma: $[a, b) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let $x \in (a, b)$. Since $[a, x]$ is closed then $[a, x]^c$ is open. We know that \mathcal{B} contains the open sets therefore $[a, x]^c \in \mathcal{B}$. Since \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra this implies $([a, x]^c)^c = [a, x] \in \mathcal{B}$.

Also (x, b) is open so $(x, b) \in \mathcal{B}$.

Since \mathcal{B} is a σ -algebra it follows that

$$[a, x] \cup (x, b) = [a, b) \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Can you modify the proof of this lemma to obtain

Lemma: If $f \in \mathcal{F}$ then $f^{-1}([a, b)) \in \mathcal{B}$

This would answer the "why" in the proof of the proposition we are working on. Since there is no time to say more about this today we will finish the proof assuming this lemma.

Recall, we are proving $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \hat{C}$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and $E_{n,k} = f^{-1}([\frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n}))$.

By the lemma $E_{n,k} \in \mathcal{B}$.

Therefore $\chi_{E_{n,k}} \in \hat{C}$.

Since \hat{C} is an algebra then

$$f_{n,m} = \sum_{k=-m}^m \frac{k}{n} \chi_{E_{n,k}} \in \hat{C}.$$

Since \hat{C} is closed under pointwise limits then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} f_{n,m}(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n} \chi_{E_{n,k}}(x)$$

shows that $f_n = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n} \chi_{E_{n,k}} \in \hat{C}$.

Claim $f_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The book says in parenthesis that, in fact, $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

After we have justified this claim then since $f_n \in \hat{C}$ and \hat{C} is closed under pointwise and therefore uniform limits it follows that $f \in \hat{C}$.

Proof of the claim $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ choose $N \in \mathbb{N}$ so large $\frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon$.

Then for $n \geq N$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$ there is $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(x) \in \left[\frac{k_0}{n}, \frac{k_0+1}{n} \right)$.

This implies $x \in f^{-1}\left(\left[\frac{k_0}{n}, \frac{k_0+1}{n} \right)\right) = E_{n, k_0}$.

$$\text{Since } f_n(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{k}{n} \chi_{E_{n, k}}(x) = \frac{k_0}{n}$$

We obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f_n(x)| &= f(x) - f_n(x) = f(x) - \frac{k_0}{n} \\ &< \frac{k_0+1}{n} - \frac{k_0}{n} = \frac{1}{n} \leq \frac{1}{N} < \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

which justifies the claim.

Thus, except for proving the lemma which states that $f^{-1}(E_{a, b}) \in \mathcal{B}$, we have proven that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. It follows that $\mathcal{F} = \hat{\mathcal{C}}$.

Please look at the construction used in this proof to obtain the functions f_n which are uniformly convergent to f . This is an important construction which we may use in the future.

We are now ready to show that

$\mathcal{B} =$ the smallest σ -algebra that contains \mathcal{C}

Let \mathcal{A} be any σ -algebra that contains \mathcal{C} .

Claim $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$.

Define $\mathcal{M} = \{f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ st. } f^{-1}(O) \in \mathcal{A} \text{ for every } O \in \mathcal{C}\}$.

Since $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ then $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$. Moreover \mathcal{M} is closed under pointwise limits for the same reason that \mathcal{F} is closed under pointwise limits. Since $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ is the smallest collection of functions containing \mathcal{C} which is closed under pointwise limits, then $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$.

Now let $B \in \mathcal{B}$. Then $\chi_B \in \hat{\mathcal{C}}$. Since $\hat{\mathcal{C}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, this means $\chi_B \in \mathcal{M}$. Therefore taking $O = (\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})$

$$\chi_B^{-1}((\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2})) = B \in \mathcal{A}.$$

This shows that $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ which implies \mathcal{B} is the smallest σ -algebra that contains \mathcal{C} .